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## Divisors and line bundles

Surface $=$ smooth, projective, over $\mathbb{C}$.
$\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\{$ line bundles on $S\} / \sim, \quad($ group for $\otimes)$.
$\operatorname{Div}(S)=\left\{D=\sum n_{i} C_{i}\right\} . \quad D \geqslant 0$ (effective) if $n_{i} \geqslant 0 \forall i$.

$$
\{D \geqslant 0\} \leadsto \sim\left\{(L, s) \mid L \in \operatorname{Pic}(S), 0 \neq s \in H^{0}(L)\right\}
$$

We put $L=\mathcal{O}_{S}(D)$. Map $D \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{S}(D)$ extends by linearity to homomorphism $\operatorname{Div}(S) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(S)$. Then $\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\operatorname{Div}(S) / \equiv$ where $D \equiv D^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow D-D^{\prime}=\operatorname{div}(\varphi), \varphi$ rational function on $S$.
$C$ irreducible curve, $s \in H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right)$ defining $C . \mathcal{O}_{S}(-C) \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_{S}$ $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}(-C) \cong$ ideal sheaf of $C$ in $S$.
$f: S \rightarrow T \leadsto f^{*}: \operatorname{Pic}(T) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(S)$.
$D \in \operatorname{Div}(T)$; if $f(S) \notin D, f^{*} D \in \operatorname{Div}(S)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f^{*} D\right)=f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{S}(D)$.

## The intersection form

$C \neq D$ irreducible, $p \in C \cap D . f, g$ equations of $C, D$ in $\mathcal{O}_{p}$.
Definition : $m_{p}(C \cap D):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{p} /(f, g)$.
Example: $m_{p}(C \cap D)=1 \Longleftrightarrow(f, g)=\mathfrak{m}_{p} \Longleftrightarrow f, g$ local coordinates at $p \stackrel{\text { def }}{\Longleftrightarrow} C$ and $D$ transverse.

Definition : $(C \cdot D):=\sum_{p \in C \cap D} m_{p}(C \cap D)$.

## Theorem

$\exists$ bilinear symmetric form $(\cdot): \operatorname{Pic}(S) \times \operatorname{Pic}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(C) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{S}(D)\right)=(C \cdot D)$ for $C, D$ irreducible.

## The intersection form: step 1

Proof : For $L, M \in \operatorname{Pic}(S)$, we put:

$$
(L \cdot M)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)-\chi\left(L^{-1}\right)-\chi\left(M^{-1}\right)+\chi\left(L^{-1} \otimes M^{-1}\right)
$$

Step $1:\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(C) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{S}(D)\right)=(C \cdot D)$.
Proof : $C=\operatorname{div}(s), D=\operatorname{div}(t)$. Exact sequence:
$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}(-C-D) \xrightarrow{(t,-s)} \mathcal{O}_{S}(-C) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{S}(-D) \xrightarrow{(s, t)} \mathcal{O}_{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C \cap D}$.
Proof: $p \in S, f, g \in \mathcal{O}_{p}$ local equations for $C$ and $D$.

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{p} \xrightarrow{(g,-f)} \mathcal{O}_{p}^{2} \xrightarrow{(f, g)} \mathcal{O}_{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{p} /(f, g) \rightarrow 0
$$

Means: in $\mathcal{O}_{p}, a f=b g \Longleftrightarrow \exists k, a=g k, b=f k$.
Holds because $\mathcal{O}_{p}$ factorial, $f, g$ prime $\neq$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)-\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(-C)\right)-\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(-D)\right)+\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(-C-D)\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{C \cap D}\right) \\
& \left.=h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C \cap D}\right)\right)=\sum_{p \in C \cap D} \mathcal{O}_{p} /(f, g) \xlongequal{\text { def }}(C \cdot D)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The intersection form (continued)

Step 2: $\left(L \cdot \mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right)=\operatorname{deg} L_{\mid C} \quad \forall L \in \operatorname{Pic}(S), C$ smooth .
Proof: Exact sequences $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}(-C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow 0$,
$\otimes L^{-1}: \quad 0 \rightarrow L^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S}(-C) \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow L_{\mid C}^{-1} \rightarrow 0$.
$\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)-\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(-C)\right), \chi\left(L_{\mid C}^{-1}\right)=\chi\left(L^{-1}\right)-\chi\left(L^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S}(-C)\right)$
$\Rightarrow(L \cdot C)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\right)-\chi\left(L_{\mid C}^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{deg} L_{\mid C}(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R}$ on $C)$.
Step 3 : $(\cdot)$ is bilinear.
Put $s(L, M, N):=(L \cdot M \otimes N)-(L \cdot M)-(L \cdot N)$.

- Symmetric in $L, M, N . \quad \bullet=0$ when $L=\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)$.

Fact (Serre): $\forall L \in \operatorname{Pic}(S), L \cong \mathcal{O}_{S}(C-D)$, with $C, D$ smooth curves (In fact, hyperplane sections in appropriate embeddings).

## The intersection form: end of proof

$L, M \in \operatorname{Pic}(S) ; M=\mathcal{O}_{S}(C-D), C, D$ smooth curves. Then
$0=s\left(L, M, \mathcal{O}_{S}(B)\right)=\left(L \cdot M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S}(B)\right)-(L \cdot M)-\left(L \cdot \mathcal{O}_{S}(B)\right)$
$\Rightarrow(L \cdot M)=\left(L \cdot \mathcal{O}_{S}(A)\right)-\left(L \cdot \mathcal{O}_{S}(B)\right)$ linear in $L$, hence in $M$.

## Examples

(1) $S=\mathbb{P}^{2}$
$C \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ defined by a form $F_{d}(X, Y, Z)$ of degree $d . \frac{F_{d}}{Z^{d}}$ rational function $\Rightarrow C \equiv d H, H$ line in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Thus $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}[H]$,
$(C \cdot D): \operatorname{deg}(C) \operatorname{deg}(D)$ (Bézout theorem).

## Examples

(2) $S=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$

Put $A=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times\{0\}, B=\{0\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}, U=S \backslash(A \cup B) \cong \mathbb{A}^{2}$.
$D \in \operatorname{Div}(S): D_{\mid U}=\operatorname{div}(\varphi)$ for some rational function $\varphi$.
$D-\operatorname{div} \varphi=a A+b B$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \Longrightarrow$
$\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)=\mathbb{Z}[A] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[B]$.
$(A \cdot B)=1$ (transverse).
$A^{2}=\left(A \cdot\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times\{1\}\right)\right)=0, B^{2}=0$ : intersection form $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.
(3) $p: S \rightarrow C, F:=p^{-1}(x) . \exists D \in \operatorname{Div}(C), x \notin D, x \equiv D$; then $F \equiv p^{*} D \Rightarrow F^{2}=F \cdot p^{*} D=0$.
(4) $D \geqslant 0, D \cdot C<0 \Rightarrow D=C+E, E \geqslant 0$.
(otherwise $D=\sum n_{i} C_{i}, C_{i} \neq C \Rightarrow C \cdot C_{i} \geqslant 0 \forall i$ )
(5) $C^{2}<0, C \equiv D \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow D=C\left(\Leftrightarrow h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right)=1\right)$.

## Canonical line bundle and Riemann-Roch

$\Omega_{S}^{1}=$ sheaf of differential 1-forms, locally isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{S}^{2}$ (locally $a(x, y) d x+b(x, y) d y$ ).
$\mathcal{K}_{S}=\bigwedge^{2} \Omega_{S}^{1}=$ sheaf of 2-forms $=$ canonical line bundle (locally $\omega=f(x, y) d x \wedge d y, \operatorname{div}(\omega)=\operatorname{div}(f)$ ).
$K_{S}$ or $K=$ canonical divisor $=$ divisor of any rational 2 -form.
Example : $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \equiv-3 H$.
Indeed the 2-form $\frac{X d Y \wedge d Z+Y d Z \wedge d X+Z d X \wedge d Y}{X Y Z}$ is welldefined, does not vanish, and has a pole $\equiv 3 H$.

Example: $C_{1}, C_{2}$ smooth projective curves, $S=C_{1} \times C_{2}$, projections $p_{i}: S \rightarrow C_{i}$. Then $K_{S} \equiv p_{1}^{*} K_{C_{1}}+p_{2}^{*} K_{C_{2}}$.
Indeed if $\alpha_{i}$ is a 1-form on $C_{i}$ (possibly rational), $p_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1} \wedge p_{2}^{*} \alpha_{2}$ is a 2 -form on $S$, with divisor $p_{1}^{*} \operatorname{div}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)+p_{2}^{*} \operatorname{div}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$.

## Riemann-Roch

Recall: $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(S) \leadsto H^{i}(S, L)=H^{i}(L), i=0,1,2$.
$h^{i}(L)=\operatorname{dim} H^{i}(L) \cdot \chi(L):=h^{0}(L)-h^{1}(L)+h^{2}(L)$.
If $L=\mathcal{O}_{S}(D)$, we write $H^{i}(D), h^{i}(D), \chi(D)$.

## Theorem

- Riemann-Roch : $\chi(L)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(L^{2}-\mathcal{K}_{S} \cdot L\right)$.
- Serre duality : $h^{i}(L)=h^{2-i}\left(\mathcal{K}_{S} \otimes L^{-1}\right)$.

Since the term $h^{1}$ is difficult to control, we will most often use R-R as an inequality, using Serre duality. In divisor form:

$$
h^{0}(D)+h^{0}(K-D) \geqslant \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(D^{2}-K \cdot D\right)
$$

## Proof of Riemann-Roch

We admit Serre duality. Riemann-Roch follows directly from the definition of the intersection form:

Proof : $L^{-1} \cdot\left(L \otimes \mathcal{K}_{S}^{-1}\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)-\chi(L)-\chi\left(\mathcal{K}_{S} \otimes L^{-1}\right)+\chi\left(\mathcal{K}_{S}\right)$
$=2 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)-2 \chi(L)$ by Serre duality. Hence
$\chi(L)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)-\frac{1}{2} L^{-1} \cdot\left(L \otimes \mathcal{K}_{S}^{-1}\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(L^{2}-L \cdot \mathcal{K}_{S}\right)$.

## The genus formula

## Corollary (genus formula)

C irreducible $\subset S \Rightarrow g(C):=h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\right)=1+\frac{1}{2}\left(C^{2}+K \cdot C\right)$.

Proof : Exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}(-C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow 0 \Longrightarrow$

$$
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)-\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(-C)\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R}}{=}-\frac{1}{2}\left(C^{2}+K \cdot C\right)
$$

Examples: • $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \Rightarrow$

$$
g(C)=1+\frac{1}{2}\left(d^{2}-3 d\right)=\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2) .
$$

- $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ of bidegree $(p, q)$ (i.e. $\left.C \equiv p A+q B\right) \Rightarrow$

$$
g(C)=1+\frac{1}{2}(2 p q-2 p-2 q)=(p-1)(q-1)
$$

## The genus of a singular curve

Remark: Let $n: N \rightarrow C$ be the normalization of $C$. Then $g(C) \geqslant g(N)$, with equality iff $C$ is smooth.

Proof: Exact sequence $\quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow n_{*} \mathcal{O}_{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow 0$ with $\mathcal{T}$ concentrated on the singular points of $C$. Hence $H^{i}(\mathcal{T})=0$ for $i>0$. Therefore $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{N}\right)-h^{0}(\mathcal{T})$, and $g(C)=g(N)+h^{0}(\mathcal{T}) \geqslant g(N)$, equality iff $C=N$ smooth.

## Corollary

$C^{2}+K \cdot C \geqslant-2 ;$ equality $\Rightarrow C \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$.

Indeed $C^{2}+K \cdot C=2 g(C)-2 \geqslant 2 g(N)-2 \geqslant-2$.

## Numerical invariants

Algebraic surfaces are distinguished by their numerical invariants:

- The most important: $K^{2}, \chi(\mathcal{O})$.

Though we will not use this in the lectures, I want to mention:

## Theorem

(1) (M. Noether) $K^{2} \geqslant 2 \chi(\mathcal{O})-6$;
(2) (Miyaoka-Yau) $K^{2} \leqslant 9 \chi(\mathcal{O})$.

The relation of $K^{2} / \chi(\mathcal{O})$ with the geometry of the surface is a long chapter of surface theory ("geography").
Refined invariants:

- $h^{2}(\mathcal{O})=h^{0}(K)$ (Serre duality), the geometric genus $p_{g}$;
- $h^{1}(\mathcal{O})=H^{0}\left(\Omega^{1}\right)$ (Hodge theory), the irregularity $q$;
- $h^{0}(n K)(n \geqslant 1)$, the plurigenera $P_{n}$.


## Exercises

1) Let $C$ be an irreducible curve in $\mathbb{P}^{2}, p \in C$. We choose affine coordinates $(x, y)$ with $p=(0,0)$, and write the equation of $C$ as $0=f_{m}(x, y)+f_{m+1}(x, y)+\ldots$, where $f_{q}$ is homogeneous of degree $q$. We have $f_{m}=\ell_{1} \ldots \ell_{m}$, where the $\ell_{i}$ are linear forms; the lines $\ell_{i}=0$ are the tangent to $C$ at $p$. Show that a line $\ell$ passing through $p$ is tangent to $C$ if and only if $(C \cdot \ell)_{p}>m$.
2) Let $C$ be a curve of genus $g$. Let $\Delta \subset C \times C$ be the diagonal ( $\Delta=\{(x, x) \mid x \in C\}$.
a) Using the genus formula, prove that $\Delta^{2}=2-2 g$.
b) Let $p, q: C \times C \rightarrow C$ be the two projections. Show that if $g>0, \operatorname{Pic}(S \times S) \supset p^{*} \operatorname{Pic}(C) \oplus q^{*} \operatorname{Pic}(C) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$. What happens for $g=0$ ?

## Exercises

3) a) Let $S_{0}$ be a smooth surface in the affine space $A^{3}$, defined by an equation $f=0$. Prove that $\frac{d x \wedge d y}{f_{z}^{\prime}}=\frac{d y \wedge d z}{f_{x}^{\prime}}=\frac{d z \wedge d x}{f_{y}^{\prime}}$ on $S_{0}$, so that this expression defines a non-vanishing 2-form on $S_{0}$. b) Let $S$ be a smooth surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, defined by an equation $F=0$ of degree $d$. Prove that the expression

$$
T^{d-4} \frac{T d Y \wedge d Z+Y d Z \wedge d T+Z d T \wedge d Y}{F_{X}^{\prime}}
$$

defines a 2-form on $S$ with divisor $(d-4) H$.
4) (Hodge index theorem) Let $H$ be a divisor on $S$ such that $H \cdot C>0$ for every curve $C \subset S$ (for instance a hyperplane section). Let $D$ be a divisor such that $H \cdot D=0$. We will prove that $D^{2} \leqslant 0$.

## Exercises

a) Show that $h^{0}(n D)=0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0$.
b) If $D^{2}>0$, deduce from Riemann-Roch that $h^{0}(K-n D)$ and $h^{0}(K+n D) \rightarrow \infty$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$; conclude that $D^{2} \leqslant 0$.
5) Let $C, C^{\prime}$ be two curves, $D$ a divisor on $C \times C^{\prime}$. Let $p \in C$, $p^{\prime} \in C^{\prime}$; put $A=p \times C, B=C \times p^{\prime}, a=D \cdot A$ and $b=D \cdot B$.
Prove the Castelnuovo-Severi inequality $D^{2} \leqslant 2 a b$ (apply the previous exercise to $H=A+B$, and the divisor $D-b A-a B)$.
[Note: This inequality was the essential step in Weil's proof of his conjectures for curves.]
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## Blowing up

## Proposition

$p \in S . \exists b: \hat{S} \rightarrow S$, unique up to isomorphism, such that
(1) $b^{-1}(p)=E \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$;
(2) $b: S \backslash E \xrightarrow{\sim} S \backslash p$.


Sketch of proof: coordinates $x, y$ in $U \ni p$ $\hat{U} \subset U \times \mathbb{P}^{1}: x Y-y X=0$.
$b: \hat{U} \rightarrow U$ projection, satisfies (1) and (2).
Then glue $S \backslash p$ and $\hat{U}$ along $U \backslash p$.
In $\hat{U}^{\prime} \subset \hat{U}:\{X \neq 0\}, y=x t$ with $t=\frac{Y}{X}$ :
$(x, t)$ local coordinates, $b(x, t)=(x, t x)$,
$E$ given by $x=0$.

## The strict transform

We say that $E$ is the exceptional curve of the blowing up.
$E \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}\left(T_{p}(S)\right):(X, Y) \in E \leftrightarrow$ tangent direction $x Y-y X=0$.
For $C \subset S$, strict transform $\hat{C}:=$ closure of $C \backslash p$ in $\hat{S}$.
$\hat{C} \cap E=\{$ tangent directions to $C$ at $p\}$.

## Lemma

$b^{*} C=\hat{C}+m E$ in $\operatorname{Div}(\hat{S})$, where $m:=m_{p}(C)$.

Proof : Eqn. of $C$ in $U: 0=f(x, y)=f_{m}(x, y)+f_{m+1}(x, y)+.$. Choose $(x, y)$ such that $f_{m}(x, 0) \neq 0$, i.e. $C$ not tangent to $y=0$. $b^{*} f=f(x, t x)=x^{m}\left(f_{m}(1, t)+x f_{m+1}(1, t)+\ldots\right), \quad f_{m}(1,0) \neq 0$ $\Rightarrow$ multiplicity of $E \operatorname{in} \operatorname{div}\left(b^{*} f\right)=m$.

## The Picard group of $\hat{S}$

## Proposition

(1) $\operatorname{Pic}(\hat{S})=b^{*} \operatorname{Pic}(S) \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{Z}[E],\left(b^{*} C \cdot b^{*} D\right)=(C \cdot D), E^{2}=-1$.
(2) $K_{\hat{S}}=b^{*} K_{S}+E$.
(3) $b_{2}(\hat{S})=b_{2}(S)+1$.

Proof : • $\Gamma \subset \hat{S}, \Gamma \neq E \Rightarrow \Gamma=$ strict transform of $b(\Gamma) \subset S$
$\Rightarrow \Gamma=b^{*} b(\Gamma)-m E$.

- $\forall C \subset S, C \equiv A \nexists p \Rightarrow\left(b^{*} C \cdot E\right)=0,\left(b^{*} C \cdot b^{*} D\right)=(C \cdot D)$.
- Take $H \ni p, m_{p}(H)=1$. Then $(\hat{H} \cdot E)=1 ; b^{*} H=\hat{H}+E$,
$\left(b^{*} H \cdot E\right)=0 \Rightarrow E^{2}=-1$.
- $b^{*} K_{S}=K_{\hat{s}}+k E \Rightarrow K_{\hat{s}} \cdot E+k E^{2}=0 . K_{\hat{s}} \cdot E=-1$ (genus formula) $\Rightarrow k=-1$.
- The claim on $b_{2}$ follows from standard topological arguments.


## Rational maps

## Corollary

$C \subset S$, strict transform $\hat{C} \subset \hat{S}$. Then $\hat{C}^{2} \leqslant C^{2}, K_{\hat{S}} \cdot \hat{C} \geqslant K_{S} \cdot C$.
Proof: - $\hat{C}^{2}=\left(b^{*} C-m E\right)^{2}=C^{2}-m^{2}$.

- $K_{\hat{S}} \cdot \hat{C}=\left(b^{*} K_{S}+E\right) \cdot\left(b^{*} C-m E\right)=K_{S} \cdot C+m$.

Definition : Rational map $\varphi: S \rightarrow T:=$ morphism $S \supset U \rightarrow T$.
We'll always take the largest $U$ such that $\varphi_{\mid U}$ is a morphism.

- $\varphi$ is birational if $\exists U \subset S, V \subset T$ such that $\varphi: U \sim \sim V$
- then we say that $S$ and $T$ are birational.


## Elimination of indeterminacy

## Theorem (Elimination of indeterminacy)

(1) $\exists u, v$ morphisms, $u=b_{1} \circ \ldots \circ b_{n}$ blowups.

(2) A birational morphism is a composition of blowups.

Remark : (1) holds in higher dimension ("Hironaka's little roof'), but not (2).

## Example: stereographic projection

$Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ smooth quadric $X T-Y Z=0$. Segre embedding $s: \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}, s(U, V ; W, S)=(U W, U S, V W, V S)$.

For each $p=s(a, b) \in Q$, there are 2 lines $\subset Q$ passing through $p$ : $s\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times b\right)$ and $s\left(a \times \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$.


Let $\Pi \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ plane $\nexists p$.
$\varphi: Q \rightarrow \Pi: q \neq p \leadsto\langle p, q\rangle \cap \Pi$.
Extension $f: \hat{Q} \rightarrow \Pi: \ell \in \mathbb{P}\left(T_{p}(Q)\right) \mapsto \ell \cap \Pi$.
$f$ birational, contracts the 2 lines through $p$.


## Some consequences

## Corollary

$\varphi: S \rightarrow T$ rational. $\exists F \subset S$ finite, $\varphi: S \backslash F \rightarrow T$ morphism.
Remark : Direct proof easy, see exercises.
Consequences : • Since $\operatorname{Div}(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Div}(S \backslash F)$ and $\operatorname{Pic}(S) \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $\operatorname{Pic}(S \backslash F), \varphi^{*}: \operatorname{Div}(T) \rightarrow \operatorname{Div}(S)$ and $\operatorname{Pic}(T) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(S)$ defined.

- For $C \subset S, \varphi(C):=\overline{\varphi(C \backslash F)}$ well-defined.
- $\varphi: S \xrightarrow{\sim} T \Rightarrow H^{0}\left(T, K_{T}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{0}\left(S, K_{S}\right)$.
(Beware! Not true that $\varphi^{*} K_{T}=K_{S}$, think of blowups)
Proof : $\varphi^{*}: H^{0}\left(T, K_{T}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(S \backslash F, K_{S}\right) \simeq H^{0}\left(S, K_{S}\right)$, then
$\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{*}: H^{0}\left(T, K_{T}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(S, K_{S}\right)$ inverse of $\varphi^{*}$.
- $H^{0}\left(T, n K_{T}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{0}\left(S, n K_{S}\right)$ for $n>0$ (same argument).
- $H^{0}\left(T, \Omega_{T}^{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{0}\left(S, \Omega_{S}^{1}\right)$ (same argument).


## Birational invariants

- The numerical invariants $p_{g}(S):=h^{0}\left(K_{S}\right)$ (geometric genus), $P_{n}(S):=h^{0}\left(n K_{S}\right)$ (plurigenera), $q(S):=h^{0}\left(\Omega_{S}^{1}\right)$ (irregularity) are birational invariants.


## Definition

A surface is ruled if it is birational to $C \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$.

## Proposition

$S$ ruled $\Rightarrow P_{n}(S)=0 \forall n \geqslant 1$.
Proof : Suffices to prove it for $S=C \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$.
$F=\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ satisfies $F^{2}=0$, hence $K \cdot F=-2$ (genus formula).
If $n K \equiv D \geqslant 0, D$ must contain $\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ for all $c \in C$, impossible.

## Irregularity of ruled surfaces

The converse is true, but difficult:

## Theorem (Enriques)

$$
P_{n}(S)=0 \forall n \Rightarrow S \text { ruled }
$$

In fact Enriques proved a more precise result: $P_{12}=0 \Rightarrow S$ ruled.

## Proposition

## $S$ birational to $C \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \Rightarrow q(S)=g(C)$.

Proof: $S=C \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \xrightarrow{p} C$. Claim: $p^{*}: H^{0}\left(C, K_{C}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{0}\left(S, \Omega_{S}^{1}\right)$. $\omega \in H^{0}\left(\Omega_{S}^{1}\right), s: C \hookrightarrow C \times \mathbb{P}^{1}, s(c)=(c, 0)$. Suffices: $\omega=p^{*} s^{*} \omega$. Local coordinates $z$ on $C, t$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \leadsto \omega=a(z, t) d z+b(z, t) d t$. $\omega_{\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}=0 \Rightarrow b(c, t) \equiv 0 \forall c \Rightarrow b=0$.
$d \omega \in H^{0}\left(K_{S}\right)=0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} a(z, t)=0 \Rightarrow a(z, t)=a(z, 0)$,
$\omega=a(z, 0) d z=p^{*} s^{*} \omega$.

## Minimal surfaces

## Definition

$S$ minimal if any birational morphism $S \rightarrow T$ is an isomorphism.

## Proposition

## Every $S$ admits a birational morphism onto a minimal surface.

Proof: If not, $\exists$ an infinite chain $S \rightarrow S_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow S_{n} \rightarrow \cdots$ of blowups. This is impossible since $b_{2}\left(S_{n}\right)=b_{2}(S)-n$.

## Theorem (Castelnuovo's criterion)

Let $E \subset S, E \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}, E^{2}=-1$. There exists a surface $T$ and a blowing up $b: S \rightarrow T$ with exceptional curve $E$.

Corollary

$$
S \text { minimal } \Leftrightarrow S \neq E \cong \mathbb{P}^{1} \text { with } E^{2}=-1
$$

## Exercises

1) Let $b: \hat{S} \rightarrow S$ the blowup of $p \in S, \hat{C}$ the strict transform of $C \subset S$. Using the genus formula, compute $g(\hat{C})$. Deduce that after a finite number of appropriate blowups, the strict transform of $C$ becomes smooth.
2) Let $\sigma: \mathbb{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be given by $\sigma(X, Y, Z)=(Y Z, Z X, X Y)$ ("standard quadratic transformation"). Let $b: P \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at the points $(1,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,0,1)$. Show that there is an automorphism $s$ of $P$, with $s^{2}=\operatorname{Id} P$ and $b \circ s=s \circ \sigma$.
3) Let $\varphi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be a rational map.
a) Show that there exists rational functions $\varphi_{0}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}$ on $S$ such that $\varphi(p)=\left[\varphi_{0}(p), \ldots, \varphi_{n}(p)\right]$ (observe that there is an open subset $U \subset S$ such that $\varphi_{\mid U}$ is a morphism into $\left.\mathbb{A}^{n} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}\right)$.

## Exercises

b) Prove that there is a finite subset $F \subset S$ such that $\varphi$ is
well-defined outside $F$ (suppose $\varphi$ is not defined along a curve $C$; let $p \in C, g \in \mathcal{O}_{p}$ a local equation for $C$. We can assume that all $\varphi_{i}$ are in $\mathcal{O}_{p}$, with no common factor. But $\varphi_{i}=0$ along
$C \Rightarrow g \mid \varphi_{i} \forall i$, contradiction.)
4) Let $u: S \rightarrow T$ be a birational morphism of surfaces, $C \subset S$ an irreducible curve such that $u(C)$ is a point. Show that $C \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$, and $C^{2}<0$.
5) Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a smooth surface of degree $d$. Using
$K_{S} \equiv(d-4) H$ and the exact sequence
$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-d) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S} \rightarrow 0$, compute $P_{n}(S)$.
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## Geometrically ruled surfaces

## Definition

- A surface $S$ is ruled if it is birational to $C \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$.
- If $C=\mathbb{P}^{1}$, we say that $S$ is rational.
- $S$ is geometrically ruled if $\exists p: S \rightarrow C$ smooth, fibers $\cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$.

The last definition is justified by:
Theorem (Noether-Enriques)
$p: S \rightarrow C$ geometrically ruled $\Rightarrow S$ ruled.

Note that this is specific to surfaces: there exist smooth morphisms $X \rightarrow S$ ( $S$ surface) with all fibers $\cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$, but $X$ not birational to $S \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ (Severi-Brauer varieties).

## Minimal ruled surfaces

## Theorem

$S$ ruled not rational. $S$ minimal $\Leftrightarrow S$ geometrically ruled.

Proof : 1) $p: S \rightarrow C$ with fibers $\cong \mathbb{P}^{1}, g(C) \geqslant 1$. If $E \subset S, p(E)=q \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ since $g(C) \geqslant 1 \Rightarrow E=p^{-1}(q) \Rightarrow E^{2}=0$.
2) $S \cong C \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \leadsto$ rational map $p: S \rightarrow C, g(C) \geqslant 1$.

Claim : $p$ is a morphism.
If not,

$$
S_{n}
$$

$E_{n} \subset S_{n}$ exceptional curve; since $g(C) \geqslant 1, v\left(E_{n}\right)=\{\mathrm{pt}\} \Rightarrow$ can replace $S_{n}$ by $S_{n-1}$, then ... till $S_{0} \Rightarrow ■$.

## End of the proof

3) $p: S \rightarrow C$, general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Want to prove all fibers $\cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Recall: $F^{2}=0, K \cdot F=-2$ (genus formula).

- $F$ irreducible $\Rightarrow F \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ (genus formula).
- $F=m F^{\prime}$ ? Only possibility $m=2, K \cdot F^{\prime}=-1$, contradicts genus formula.
- $F=\sum n_{i} C_{i}$. Claim : $\Rightarrow C_{i}^{2}<0 \forall i$.

Because: $n_{i} C_{i}^{2}=C_{i} \cdot\left(F-\sum_{j \neq i} n_{j} C_{j}\right), C_{i} \cdot F=0, C_{i} \cdot C_{j} \geqslant 0$, and
$C_{i} \cdot C_{j}>0$ for some $j$ since $F$ is connected.

- Then $K \cdot C_{i}=2 g\left(C_{i}\right)-2-C_{i}^{2} \geqslant-1,=-1 \Leftrightarrow C_{i}$ exceptional.

So if $S$ minimal, $\left(K \cdot C_{i}\right) \geqslant 0 \forall i \Rightarrow(K \cdot F) \geqslant 0$, contradiction.

## Projective bundles

$E$ rank 2 vector bundle on $C \leadsto$ projective bundle $p: \mathbb{P}_{C}(E) \rightarrow C, p^{-1}(x)=\mathbb{P}\left(E_{x}\right)$, so $\mathbb{P}_{C}(E)$ is a geometrically ruled surface.

The following can be deduced from the Noether-Enriques theorem:

## Proposition

Every geometrically ruled surface is a projective bundle.

There is a highly developed theory of vector bundles on curves, particularly in rank 2; therefore the classification of minimal ruled surfaces is well understood.

## Elementary transformation


$f: S \rightarrow C$ geometrically ruled. Choose $p \in C$, $q \in F:=f^{-1}(p)$. Blow up $q$.
$\hat{f}: \hat{S} \xrightarrow{b} S \xrightarrow{f} C$. Fiber above $p=E \cup \hat{F}$.
$0=\left(\hat{f}^{*} p\right)^{2}=(E+\hat{F})^{2}=E^{2}+\hat{F}^{2}+2 \Rightarrow$
$\hat{F}^{2}=-1$, hence $\hat{F}$ is an exceptional curve (Castelnuovo). Contraction $c: \hat{S} \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ :

$S^{\prime} \hat{f}$ induces $g: S^{\prime} \rightarrow C$ geometrically ruled.

## Elementary transformation with section



Then

$$
\Sigma^{\prime 2}=\hat{\Sigma}^{2}=\left(b^{*} \Sigma-E\right)^{2}=\Sigma^{2}-1
$$

## Lemma

Suppose $\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\mathbb{Z}[F] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\Sigma]$. Then $\operatorname{Pic}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\mathbb{Z}\left[F^{\prime}\right] \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left[\Sigma^{\prime}\right]$.
Proof: It suffices to prove that $\left(c^{*} F^{\prime}, c^{*} \Sigma^{\prime}, \hat{F}\right)$ basis of $\operatorname{Pic}(\hat{S})$.
But $\quad c^{*} F^{\prime}=b^{*} F, c^{*} \Sigma^{\prime}=\hat{\Sigma}=b^{*} \Sigma-E, \hat{F}=b^{*} F-E$ and $\left(b^{*} F, b^{*} \Sigma, E\right)$ basis of $\operatorname{Pic}(\hat{S})$.

## The surfaces $\mathbb{F}_{n}$

## Proposition

- For $n \geqslant 0, \exists$ a geometrically ruled rational surface $\mathbb{F}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$, with a section $\Sigma$ of square $-n$, and $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)=\mathbb{Z}[F] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\Sigma]$.
- For $n>0$, the curve $\Sigma$ is the only curve of square $<0$ on $\mathbb{F}_{n}$.

Proof : We start with $\mathbb{F}_{0}:=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, with $f=\mathrm{pr}_{1}$ and $\Sigma=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times\{0\}$. Once $\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}, \Sigma\right)$ is constructed, we choose $q \in \Sigma$ : elementary transformation $\leadsto \mathbb{F}_{n+1}=S^{\prime}$ with $\Sigma^{\prime 2}=-n-1$.

- By the Lemma, $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)=\mathbb{Z}[F] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\Sigma]$.
- Let $C \neq \Sigma$ irreducible curve on $\mathbb{F}_{n} . \quad C \equiv a \Sigma+b F$.
$(C \cdot F) \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow a \geqslant 0 ; \quad(C \cdot \Sigma)=-a n+b \geqslant 0$
$\Rightarrow C^{2}=-n a^{2}+2 a b=a(2 b-a n) \geqslant a n^{2} \geqslant 0$.


## Minimal rational surfaces

## Corollary

$\mathbb{F}_{n}$ is minimal for $n \neq 1$.
$\mathbb{F}_{1}$ is obtained by blowing up a point $q$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and contracting one of the lines through $q$; by stereographic projection, $\mathbb{F}_{1} \cong \hat{\mathbb{P}}^{2}$.

## Theorem

The minimal rational surfaces are $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ for $n \neq 2$.

Remark: Being geometrically ruled, the surfaces $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ are of the form $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(E)$. It is not difficult to show that all vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ are direct sums of line bundles; in fact, it was observed by Hirzebruch that $\mathbb{F}_{n}=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(n)\right)$.

## Non-ruled surfaces

## Theorem

Two birational minimal surfaces not ruled are isomorphic.
Thus a non-ruled surface admits a unique minimal model (up to isomorphism); the birational classification of these surfaces is reduced to the classification (up to isomorphism) of the minimal ones. In contrast, ruled surfaces have a simple birational model $\left(C \times \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$, but the determination of the minimal ones is subtle.

The theorem follows easily from an important Lemma (admitted):
Key lemma
If $S$ is minimal not ruled, $(K \cdot C) \geqslant 0$ for all curves $C$.
We say that $K$ is nef. This is the crucial notion to extend the definition of minimal surface in higher dimension.

## Proof of the Theorem

Let $\varphi: S \xrightarrow{\sim} T$, with $S, T$ minimal not ruled. We want to prove that $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.

We choose a diagram:

$v$ birational, $u: S_{n} \rightarrow S_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow S_{0}=S$, with $n \geqslant 1$ minimal $\Rightarrow v$ maps $E_{n}$ to a curve $C$.

Since $v$ is a composition of blowups,
$\left(K_{T} \cdot C\right) \leqslant\left(K_{S_{n}} \cdot E_{n}\right)=-1$, contradicting the key lemma.
Thus $\varphi$ birational morphism; $S$ minimal $\Rightarrow \varphi$ isomorphism.

## Exercises

1) Let $C$ be a curve of genus $g$. Show that the sections $\Sigma$ of the fibration $C \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow C$ are in bijective correspondence with the maps $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Using the genus formula, compute $\Sigma^{2}$ in terms of the degree of $f$. Show that $\Sigma^{2}$ is even, nonnegative, and $\neq 2$ if $g>0$.
2) a) Show that the canonical divisor of $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ is $-2 \Sigma+(n-2) F$ and that $K^{2}=8$.
b) We say that a divisor $D$ (or the corresponding line bundle) on a surface $S$ is nef if $D \cdot C \geqslant 0$ for all curves $C$ on $S$. Show that the anticanonical divisor $-K$ on $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ is nef if and only if $n \leqslant 2$.
c) We say that $D$ is ample if $D \cdot C>0$ for all curves $C$, and $D^{2}>0$. Show that $-K_{\mathbb{F}_{n}}$ is ample if and only if $n \leqslant 1$.

## Exercises

d) Let $S$ be a surface with $-K_{S}$ ample. Show that $S$ is obtained from $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ by blowing up $\leqslant 8$ points (observe that if $-K_{T}$ is not ample for a surface $T$, any blowup of $T$ has the same property).
3) We consider the divisor class $H_{k}:=\Sigma+k F$ on the surface $\mathbb{F}_{n}$.
a) For $k<n$, show that the effective divisors $\equiv H_{k}$ are sum of $\Sigma$ and $k$ fibers.
b) Compute $\chi\left(H_{k}\right)$ by Riemann-Roch; deduce that $H^{1}\left(H_{n-1}\right)=0$.
c) Using the exact sequences
$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(H_{k}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(H_{k+1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1) \rightarrow 0$, show that $H^{1}\left(H_{k}\right)=0$ for $k \geqslant n-1$, and $h^{0}\left(H_{k}\right)=2 k+2-n$.
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## Linear systems and rational maps

$L=\mathcal{O}_{S}(D) \in \operatorname{Pic}(S)$. (Complete) linear system :

$$
|L|=|D|:=\{E \geqslant 0 \mid E \equiv D\}=\mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}(L)\right)
$$

$B_{L}=$ Base locus of $L:=\bigcap_{E \in|L|} E=Z \bigcup\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}\right\}$
$Z=\bigcup C_{i}=$ fixed part, $p_{i}$ base points.
Rational map defined by $L$ :
$\varphi_{L}: S \backslash B_{L} \rightarrow|L|^{\vee}, \varphi_{L}(p)=\{E \mid p \in E\}=$ hyperplane in $|L|$.
If $Z=$ fixed part of $|L|, \varphi_{L}=\varphi_{L(-Z)}$ : can assume $L$ has no fixed part, i.e. $B_{L}$ finite.
$E \in|L| \quad \leadsto$ hyperplane $H_{E} \subset|L|^{v}$;
$\varphi_{L}^{*} H_{E}=\left\{p \in S \backslash B_{L} \mid E \in \varphi_{L}(p) \Leftrightarrow p \in E\right\}=E \backslash B_{L}: \varphi_{L}^{*} H_{E}=E$.

## Properties of $\varphi_{L}$

## Properties of $\varphi_{L}$

- $\varphi_{L}$ morphism $\Leftrightarrow|L|$ base point free (i.e. $B_{L}=\varnothing$ ).
- $\varphi_{L}$ injective $\Leftrightarrow \forall p \neq q, \exists E \in|L|, p \in E, q \notin E$. If this holds:
- $\varphi_{L}$ embedding $\Leftrightarrow \forall p, v \neq 0 \in T_{p}(S), \exists p \in E \in|L|, v \notin T_{p}(E)$.

If this is the case, we say that $L$ is very ample.

- $\varphi_{L}$ embedding $\Rightarrow \operatorname{deg}\left(\varphi_{L}(S)\right)=L^{2}$.

Remark: If $D$ is very ample and $|E|$ is base point free, $D+E$ is very ample.
Examples: • Let $H$ be a line in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. The linear system $|n H|$ of curves of degree $n(n \geqslant 1)$ is very ample. In particular, $\varphi_{2 H}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ onto a surface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{5}$, the Veronese surface. We have $\operatorname{deg}(V)=(2 H)^{2}=4$; the hyperplane sections of $V$ are conics.

## Examples

- On $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, let $A=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times\{0\}$ and $B=\{0\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. The linear systems $|A|$ and $|B|$ are base point free, and $\varphi_{A+B}$ is the Segre embedding in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Hence $a A+b B$ is very ample for $a, b \geqslant 1$. In particular, $|2 A+B|$ gives an isomorphism onto a surface of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}^{5}$ ("quartic scroll"). Since $A \cdot(2 A+B)=1$, the curves in $|A|$ are mapped to lines in $\mathbb{P}^{5}$.
- Let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s} \in S$. Let $|D|$ be a linear system on $S$, and $P \subset|D|$ the subspace of divisors passing through $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}$. Assume that at each $p_{i}$ the curves of $P$ have different tangent directions. Let $b: \hat{S} \rightarrow S$ be the blowing up of $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}, E_{i}$ the exceptional curve above $p_{i}$. The system $\hat{D}:=b^{*} D-\sum E_{i}$ is base point free and defines a morphism $\varphi_{\hat{D}}: \hat{S} \rightarrow|\hat{D}|^{\vee}$ to which we can apply the previous remarks.


## Examples (continued)

- Let $p \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$; consider the system of conics passing through $p$. It is easy to check that $\left|2 b^{*} H-E\right|$ on $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{p}^{2}$ is very ample. It gives an isomorphism onto a surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^{4}$, with $\operatorname{deg}(S)=\left(4 H^{2}+E^{2}\right)=3$. The strict transforms of the lines through $p$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ form the linear system $b^{*} H-E$; since $\left(b^{*} H-E\right) \cdot\left(2 b^{*} H-E\right)=1$, they are mapped to lines in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. $S$ is the cubic scroll.
- Now let us pass to linear systems of cubic curves.


## Proposition

For $s \leqslant 6$, let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s} \in S=\mathbb{P}^{2}$, such that no 3 of them lie on a line and no 6 on a conic. The linear system $|-K|$ on $\hat{S}$ is very ample, and defines an isomorphism of $\hat{S}$ onto a surface $\Sigma_{d}$ of degree $d:=9-s$ in $\mathbb{P}^{d}$, called a del Pezzo surface.

In prticular, $\Sigma_{3}$ is a (smooth) cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$.

## Sketch of proof

Sketch of proof : The proof is a long exercise, with no essential difficulty; I will just give an idea. We have $-K_{\hat{S}}=3 b^{*} H-\sum E_{i}$, corresponding to the system $P$ of cubics passing through the $p_{i}$. Let us show that $\varphi_{-K}$ is injective in the most difficult case $s=6$.

- Let $p \neq q \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash\left\{p_{i}\right\}$. Can assume $p_{1}$ is not on the line $\langle p, q\rangle$.
- $\exists$ ! conic $Q_{i j}$ passing through $p$ and the $p_{k}$ for $k \neq i, j$.
- $Q_{1 i} \cap Q_{1 j}=\{p\} \cup 3$ other $p_{k} \Rightarrow q \in$ at most one $Q_{1 i}$, say $Q_{12}$.
- $q$ is at most on one $\left\langle p_{1}, p_{i}\right\rangle$, say $\left\langle p_{1}, p_{3}\right\rangle$.
- Then $Q_{14} \cup\left\langle p_{1}, p_{4}\right\rangle \in P$, $\ni p, \nexists q \Rightarrow \varphi_{-K}(p) \neq \varphi_{-K}(q)$.
- Then: $\operatorname{deg}\left(\sum_{d}\right)=\left(3 b^{*} H-\sum E_{i}\right)^{2}=9-s=d$; one has $h^{0}(3 H)=10$, and one checks that $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}$ impose $s$ independent conditions.
Example : $\Sigma_{3}$ is a smooth cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$; we will see that one obtains all smooth cubic surfaces in that way.


## Lines on del Pezzo surfaces

## Proposition

lines $\subset \Sigma_{d}=$ exceptional curves $=$ the $E_{i}$, the strict transforms of the lines $\left\langle p_{i}, p_{j}\right\rangle$ and of the conics passing through 5 of the $p_{i}$ (for $s=5$ or 6 ). Their number is $s+\binom{s}{2}+\binom{s}{5}$.

Proof : $E \subset \hat{S} \leadsto$ line in $\Sigma \Leftrightarrow K_{\hat{S}} \cdot E=-1$, i.e. $E$ exceptional. $E \neq E_{i} \Rightarrow E \equiv m b^{*} H-\sum a_{i} E_{i}$ in $\operatorname{Pic}(\hat{S}) ; a_{i}=E \cdot E_{i}=0$ or 1. $(-K) \cdot E=3 m-\sum a_{i}=1 \Rightarrow \sum a_{i}=2$ and $m=1$, or $\sum a_{i}=5$ and $m=2$.

Remark: We know more than the number of lines, namely their classes in $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\Sigma_{d}\right)$, their incidence properties, etc. The configuration of lines has been intensively studied in the 19th and 20th century. Let us just mention that the lattice $K^{\perp} \subset \operatorname{Pic}\left(\Sigma_{d}\right)$ is a root system, of type $E_{6}, D_{5}, A_{4}, A_{2} \times A_{1}$ for $s=6,5,4,3$.

## The cubic surface

## Proposition

Any smooth cubic surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is a del Pezzo surface $\Sigma_{3}$. In particular, $S$ contains 27 lines.

Strategy of the proof: show that $S$ contains a line, then 2 skew lines; then deduce from that a map $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ composite of blowups.
There are many details to check, left to the reader.
(1) $\mathbb{G}:=\left\{\right.$ lines $\left.\subset \mathbb{P}^{3}\right\}, \operatorname{dim} \mathbb{G}=4$.
$\mathcal{C}:=\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)\right|=\left\{\right.$ cubic surfaces $\left.\subset \mathbb{P}^{3}\right\} \cong \mathbb{P}^{c}(c=19)$.
Incidence correspondence: $Z \subset \mathbb{G} \times \mathcal{C}=\{(\ell, S) \mid \ell \subset S\}$.


Fibers of $p \cong \mathbb{P}^{c-4}(S: F=0$ contains
$Z=T=0 \Leftrightarrow F$ has no $\left.X^{3}, X^{2} Y, X Y^{2}, Y^{3}\right)$.
Thus $\operatorname{dim} Z=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}$. We want $q$ surjective.

## Cubic surface (continued)

If $q: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ not surjective, $\operatorname{dim} q(Z) \leqslant c-1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{dim} q^{-1}(S) \geqslant 1$ for $S \in q(Z)$. But $q^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{3}\right)$ finite $\Rightarrow$ impossible.
(2) $S \supset \ell$. The planes $\Pi \supset \ell$ cut $S$ along a conic.

Claim : 5 of these conics are degenerate, i.e. of the form $\ell_{1} \cup \ell_{2}$.
Proof : $\ell: Z=T=0 \Rightarrow$
$F=A X^{2}+2 B X Y+C Y^{2}+2 D X+2 E Y+G$, with $A, \ldots, G$ homogeneous polynomials in $Z, T$. The conic is degenerate
$\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{det}\left|\begin{array}{lll}A & B & D \\ B & C & E \\ D & E & G\end{array}\right|=0$, degree 5 in $Z, T . \geqslant 2$ distinct roots $\Rightarrow$
$S \supset 2$ triangles: $\ell \cup \ell_{1} \cup \ell_{1}^{\prime}, \ell \cup \ell_{2} \cup \ell_{2}^{\prime}$. Then $\ell_{1} \cap \ell_{2}=\varnothing$.

## Cubic surface (continued)

(3) $\ell \subset S$, given by $X=Y=0$. Projection from $\ell: S \xrightarrow{(X, Y)} \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Well-defined: $S: X B-Y A=0,(X, Y)=(A, B)$ on $S$,
$X=Y=A=B=0 \Rightarrow S$ singular.
$\varphi_{i}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ projection from $\ell_{i} \leadsto \varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right): S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$.
Geometrically, $\varphi_{i}(p)=$ plane $\left\langle\ell_{i}, p\right\rangle$ through $\ell_{i}$.
Birational: for $\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}, \pi_{1} \cap \pi_{2}=$ line meeting $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$, intersects $S$ along a unique third point $p$.
$\Rightarrow \varphi=$ composition of blowups. Blowup of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ at 1 point $=$ blowup of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at 2 points $\Rightarrow \varphi^{\prime}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ composition of blowups.
$\lambda$ line contracted by $\varphi \Longleftrightarrow \pi_{1}(\lambda)=\{p\}, \pi_{2}(\lambda)=p t s$ $\Longleftrightarrow \lambda$ meets $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$.
For each of the 5 triangles $\ell_{1}, \ell_{1}^{\prime}, \ell_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ell_{2}$ meets one of $\ell_{1}^{\prime}, \ell_{1}^{\prime \prime} \Rightarrow$ 5 lines contracted $\Rightarrow S \cong \mathbb{P}^{2}$ with 6 points blown up.

## Exercises

1) Show that the linear system $|\Sigma+n F|$ on $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ defines a morphism $\mathbb{F}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, which is an embedding outside $\Sigma$ and contracts $\Sigma$ to a point $p$. Show that the image of $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ is a cone with vertex $p$, and that the hyperplane sections not passing through $p$ are rational normal curves of degree $n$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ (use exercise 3 of Lecture II).
2) Show that the linear system $|\Sigma+k F|$ on $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ for $k>n$ defines an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ onto a surface of degree $2 k-n$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2 k-n+1}$. The images of the fibers are disjoint lines, and that of $\Sigma$ is a rational normal curve of degree $n+k$.
3) Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the vector space of symmetric $3 \times 3$ matrices. Show that the locus of rank 1 matrices in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{S}) \cong \mathbb{P}^{5}$ is a Veronese surface $V$. Deduce that all secants to $V$ (i.e. the lines $\langle p, q\rangle$, $p \neq q \in V$ ) are contained in a cubic hypersurface.

## Exercises

[Note: the secant lines depend on $2+2$ parameters, so one would expect that their union fills $\mathbb{P}^{5}$. It is a classical theorem of Severi that the Veronese surface is the only smooth surface in $\mathbb{P}^{5}$ (not contained in a hyperplane) with this property.]
4) a) Let $C$ be a smooth rational curve of degree $e$ on a del Pezzo surface $\Sigma_{d}$. Show that $C^{2}=e-2$. Prove that the linear system
$|C|$ has dimension $e-1$ (use the exact sequence
$\left.0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}(C)_{\mid C} \rightarrow 0\right)$.
b) Describe in terms of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with $9-d$ points blown up the pencils ( $=$ linear systems of dimension 1 ) of conics on $\Sigma_{d}$. Find their number.

## Exercises

c) We fix $e=3$. Show that the linear system $|C|$ is base point free, and defines a birational morphism to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ (use the exact sequence of a). Conversely, any birational morphism $\Sigma_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is defined by a net ( $=$ linear systems of dimension 2) of twisted cubics.
d) Describe the nets of twisted cubics on $\Sigma_{3}$. Show that there are 72 such nets.
5) A double-six in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ consists of 2 sets of disjoint lines $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{6}$ and $\ell_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \ell_{6}^{\prime}$, such that $\ell_{i} \cap \ell_{j}^{\prime} \neq \varnothing$ for $i \neq j$ and $\ell_{i} \cap \ell_{i}^{\prime}=\varnothing$.
a) Show that in a cubic surface $\Sigma_{3}$, the images of $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{6}$ and of the conics passing through 5 of the $p_{i}$ form a double-six.
b) Conversely, given a double-six $\left(\ell_{i}, \ell_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ on $\Sigma_{3}$, there is a birational morphism $\mathbb{S}_{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ contracting the $\ell_{i}$ to points $p_{i}$ and mapping the $\ell_{j}^{\prime}$ to conics through 5 of the $p_{i}$.
c) Conclude that there are 36 double-six on $\Sigma_{3}$.
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## Kodaira dimension

The key ingredient to distinguish different projective varieties is the behaviour of the canonical bundle.

## Definition

The Kodaira dimension of a surface $S$ is

$$
\kappa(S):=\max _{n} \operatorname{dim} \varphi_{n K}(S)
$$

with the convention $\operatorname{dim} \varnothing=-\infty$.

Using the plurigenera $P_{n}=h^{0}(n K)$, this translates as

- $\kappa(S)=-\infty \Longleftrightarrow P_{n}=0 \forall n \Longleftrightarrow S$ ruled (Enriques theorem).
- $\kappa(S)=0 \Longleftrightarrow P_{n}=0$ or $1 \forall n$, and $=1$ for some $n$.
- $\kappa(S)=1 \Longleftrightarrow P_{n} \geqslant 2$ for some $n$, and $\operatorname{dim} \varphi_{m K}(S) \leqslant 1 \forall m$;
- $\kappa(S)=2 \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{dim} \varphi_{n K}(S)=2$ for some $n$.


## Examples

- Let $B, C$ be two curves of genus $b, c$. Then:
- $\kappa(B \times C)=-\infty \Leftrightarrow b c=0$;
- $\kappa(B \times C)=0 \Leftrightarrow b=c=1$;
- $\kappa(B \times C)=1 \Leftrightarrow b$ or $c=1, b c>1$;
- $\kappa(B \times C)=2 \Leftrightarrow b$ and $c \geqslant 2$.
- Let $S_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $d$; then $S_{d}$ is rational for $d \leqslant 3$, $\kappa\left(S_{4}\right)=0, \kappa\left(S_{d}\right)=2$ for $d \geqslant 5$.

These examples show a general pattern: most surfaces have $\kappa=2$ (they are called of general type), some have $\kappa=1$, and the cases $\kappa=0$ and $\kappa=-\infty$ are completely classified.

Remark: $S$ minimal, $\kappa(S) \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow K_{S}^{2} \geqslant 0$. Indeed $\left|n K_{S}\right| \ni E$ for some $n \geqslant 1$, and $K \cdot E \geqslant 0$ by the key lemma.

## $\kappa=2$

## Proposition

Let $S$ be a minimal surface. The following are equivalent:
(1) $\kappa(S)=2$;
(2) $K^{2}>0$ and $S$ not ruled;
(3) $\varphi_{n K}$ birational onto its image for $n \gg 0$.

Proof : (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) clear.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): let $H$ be a very ample divisor on $S$. Riemann-Roch $m s$ $\chi(n K-H) \sim \frac{1}{2} n^{2} K^{2}>0$ for $n \gg 0$, hence
$h^{0}(n K-H)+h^{0}((1-n) K+H)>0$.
But $((1-n) K+H) \cdot K<0$ for $n \gg 0$, hence $h^{0}=0$ by key Lemma
$\Rightarrow h^{0}(n K-H)>0$, hence $n K \equiv H+E, E \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow \varphi_{n K}$ birational.

## $\kappa=2$ (continued)

$$
\text { (1) } \Rightarrow \text { (2): } \kappa(S)=2 \Rightarrow S \text { not ruled and } K^{2} \geqslant 0 \text {. But } K^{2}>0 \text { by: }
$$

## Lemma

$S$ minimal, $K^{2}=0,|n K|=Z+M$ with $Z$ fixed part. Then $M$ is base-point free, and $\varphi_{M}=\varphi_{n K}: S \rightarrow C \subset|n K|^{\vee}$.

Proof : Key lemma $\Rightarrow(K \cdot Z)$ and $(K \cdot M) \geqslant 0$, hence $=0$.
$0=M \cdot(Z+M) \Rightarrow M^{2}=0 \Rightarrow|M|$ base-point free, hence $\varphi_{M}: S \rightarrow C \subset|n K|^{\vee}$. $M^{2}=0 \Rightarrow C$ curve.

Remark: $\exists$ much more precise results for (3) (Kodaira, Bombieri): $\varphi_{n K}$ morphism for $n \geqslant 4$, birational for $n \geqslant 5$.

Example: For $S=B \times C$ as above, $K_{B \times C}^{2}=\left(p^{*} K_{B} \cdot q^{*} K_{C}\right)=(2 b-2)(2 c-2): K_{X}^{2}>0 \Leftrightarrow b, c \geqslant 2$.

## Surfaces with $\kappa=1$

## Proposition

$S$ minimal, $\kappa(S)=1 \Rightarrow K^{2}=0$, and $\exists p: S \rightarrow B$ with general fiber elliptic curve.

## (We say that $S$ is an elliptic surface.)

Proof: Choose $n$ such that $h^{0}(n K) \geqslant 2,|n K|=Z+|M|$. By the Lemma, $\varphi_{M}: S \rightarrow C \subset|n K|^{\vee}$.
Stein factorization: $\varphi_{M}: S \xrightarrow{p} B \rightarrow C$, with fibers of $p$ connected.
$F$ smooth fiber. $F \leqslant M \Rightarrow K \cdot F=0, F^{2}=0 \Rightarrow g(F)=1$
(genus formula).
Remark : An elliptic surface can be rational, ruled, or have $\kappa=0$.

## Surfaces with $\kappa=0$

## Theorem

$S$ minimal with $\kappa=0$.
(1) $q=0, K \equiv 0: S$ is a $K 3$ surface;
(2) $q=0,2 K \equiv 0, K \not \equiv 0: S$ is an Enriques surface - quotient of a K3 by a fixed-point free involution.
(3) $q=1$ : $S$ is a bielliptic surface, quotient of a product $E \times F$ of elliptic curves by a finite group acting freely ( 7 cases).
(4) $q=2: S$ is an abelian surface (projective complex torus).

We will treat only the cases with $q=0$ (the other cases require the theory of the Albanese variety). If $K \equiv 0$, we are in case (1).
We want to prove that $\quad q=0, K \not \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 2 K \equiv 0$.

## $S$ minimal, $q=0, K \neq 0$

Proof: We have $h^{0}(n K)=0$ or $1 \forall n \geqslant 1$, and $K^{2}=0$ by the case $\kappa=2$. We first prove $p_{g}=h^{0}(K)=0$.
If $h^{0}(K)=1$ Riemann-Roch gives

$$
h^{0}(-K)+h^{0}(2 K) \geqslant \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=1-q+p_{g}=2
$$

hence $h^{0}(-K) \geqslant 1$. Thus $\exists A \in|K|, B \in|-K| \Rightarrow A+B \equiv 0$ $\Rightarrow A=B+0, K \equiv 0$, excluded. Hence $h^{0}(K)=0$.
Then: $\quad h^{0}(-K)+h^{0}(2 K) \geqslant \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=1$.
If $h^{0}(-K)>0,|-K| \ni D \geqslant 0,|n K| \ni E \geqslant 0, n D+E \equiv 0 \Rightarrow$ $D \equiv 0$, contradiction. Hence $h^{0}(2 K)>0$.
Riemann-Roch: $h^{0}(3 K)+h^{0}(-2 K) \geqslant 1$. Suppose $h^{0}(3 K) \geqslant 1$.
$D \in|2 K|, E \in|3 K| ; 3 D, 2 E \in|6 K| \Rightarrow 3 D=2 E \Rightarrow$
$D=2 F, E=3 F$ with $F \geqslant 0$. But $F \equiv E-D \equiv K$, contradiction.
Therefore $h^{0}(-2 K)>0$, and $2 K \equiv 0$.

## The double cover of an Enriques surface

Let $S$ be an Enriques surface. View $\mathcal{K}_{S}$ as a line bundle $p: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow S$; we have a non-vanishing section $\omega$ of $H^{0}(2 K)$. Let

$$
X=\left\{x \in \mathcal{K} \mid x^{2}=\omega(p x)\right\}
$$

It is a closed subvariety of $\mathcal{K}$; for each $y \in S$ there are 2 points in $X$ above $y$, exchanged by the involution $\sigma: x \mapsto-x$. This involution acts freely, and $p_{X}$ identifies $S$ with $X / \sigma$. The morphism $p_{X}: X \rightarrow S$ is étale, hence $p_{X}^{*} \mathcal{K}_{S} \cong \mathcal{K}_{X}$.

Consider the pull back diagram:

$p^{\prime}$ has a canonical section $x \mapsto(x, x)$; this section does not vanish outside the zero section of $\mathcal{K}$. Therefore $p^{*} \mathcal{K}_{\mid S}=\mathcal{K}_{X}$ is trivial. We will admit $q=0$, so $X$ is a K3 surface.

## Examples

- $S_{4} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ (smooth) is a K3 surface.

Indeed $K_{S_{d}} \equiv(d-4) H$, so $\equiv 0$ for $d=4$. To prove $q=0$ we admit a classical result:

## Lemma

$H^{i}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(k)\right)=0$ for all $k$ and $0<i<n$.

Then from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S} \rightarrow 0$ we get $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=0$.

- More generally, for each $g \geqslant 3$, there is a family of K3 surfaces of degree $2 g-2$ in $\mathbb{P}^{g}$ : in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ we get the intersection of a quadric and a cubic, in $\mathbb{P}^{5}$ the intersection of 3 quadrics, etc. These surfaces have a rich geometry and have been, and still are, extensively studied.


## An Enriques surface

$\operatorname{In} \mathbb{P}^{5}$, with homogeneous coordinates $X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{0}^{\prime}, X_{1}^{\prime}, X_{2}^{\prime}$, consider the surface $S$ defined by

$$
P(X)+P^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=Q(X)+Q^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=R(X)+R^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

where $P, Q, R ; P^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}, R^{\prime}$ are general quadratic forms in 3 variables. The involution $\sigma:\left(X_{i}, X_{j}^{\prime}\right) \mapsto\left(-X_{i}, X_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ preserves $S$; its fixed points are the 2-planes $X_{i}=0$ and $X_{j}^{\prime}=0$, which are not on $S$ since the quadratic forms are general. The surface quotient $S / \sigma$ is an Enriques surface.

## THE END

## Exercises

1)Let $S$ be a K3 surface, $C \subset S$ a curve of genus $g$.
a) Show that $C^{2}=2 g-2$ and $h^{0}(C)=g+1$ (deduce from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}(-C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow 0$ that $\left.H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(-C)\right)=0\right)$.
b) Show that the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)$ to $C$ has degree $2 g-2$ and $h^{0}=g$, hence is $\cong \mathcal{K}_{C}$.
c) Deduce from b) that $|C|$ is base point free. If $C$ is not hyperelliptic, show the morphism $\varphi_{C}$ is birational onto its image.
2) a) Let $C, C^{\prime}$ two cubic curves in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, which intersect transversally at 9 points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{9}$. Let $\hat{P}$ be the bowup of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at these points. Show that the anticanonical system $\left|-K_{\hat{P}}\right|$ is base point free, and defines a morphism $\hat{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ whose general fiber is a plane cubic, hence an elliptic curve.

## Exercises

b) Let $S$ be a smooth quartic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ containing a line $\ell$, defined by $X=Y=0$. Show that $(X, Y)$ define a morphism $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ whose general fiber is a plane cubic.
3) Let $S$ be a K3 surface, $D$ an effective divisor on $S$ with $D^{2}=0$ and $D \cdot C \geqslant 0$ for every curve $C$ on $S$. Show that $D \equiv m E$, where $m \geqslant 1$ and $E$ is a smooth elliptic curve.
( Let $Z$ be the fixed part of $|D|$, so that $D \equiv Z+M$; prove
$D \cdot Z=0$, then $Z^{2}=0$, which implies $Z=0$ by Riemann-Roch.
Then use the same argument as in the Lemma.)

## Exercises

4) Let $S$ be an Enriques surface, $E$ an elliptic curve on $S$. Show that either $|E|$ or $|2 E|$ is a base point free pencil of elliptic cuves.
(Use the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}(E)_{\mid E} \rightarrow 0$. If $\mathcal{O}_{S}(E)_{\mid E}=\mathcal{O}_{E},|E|$ is a base point free pencil. If not, observe that $|K+E|$ contains a divisor $E^{\prime}$ by Riemann-Roch; then $|2 E|$ contains $2 E$ and $2 E^{\prime}$, and the above exact sequence tensored by $\mathcal{O}_{S}(E)$ shows that $h^{0}(2 E)=2$.)
5) Let $S$ be a surface, $p: S \rightarrow B$ a morphism onto a curve with connected fibers. Suppose a fiber $F$ is reducible, i.e. $F=\sum n_{i} C_{i}$. Let $D=\sum r_{i} C_{i}$, with $r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Show that $D^{2} \leqslant 0$, and $D^{2}=0$ if and only if $D \equiv k F$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Q}$.
(Write $G_{i}=n_{i} C_{i}$ and $s_{i}=\frac{r_{i}}{n_{i}} \in \mathbb{Q}$, so that $D=\sum s_{i} G_{i}$; using $G_{i}^{2}=G_{i} \cdot\left(F-\sum_{i \neq i} G_{j}\right)$, prove that $\left.D^{2}=\sum_{i \neq i}\left(s_{i}-s_{j}\right)^{2} G_{i} \cdot G_{j}.\right)$

## Exercises

6) Let $S$ be a minimal surface with a morphism $p: S \rightarrow B$ onto a curve, whose general fiber is an elliptic curve. By a theorem of Zariski all fibers of $p$ are connected.
a) Suppose a fiber is reducible, hence $=\sum n_{i} C_{i}$. Using exercise 5 , show that $C_{i}^{2}<0$ for all $i$. Deduce that $C_{i}$ is smooth rational and $C_{i}^{2}=-2$.
b) Suppose $\kappa(S) \geqslant 0$. Show that there exists an integer $d$ such that $d K \equiv p^{*} D$ for some $D \geqslant 0$ on $B$ (let $D \in|r K|$; since
$D \cdot F=0, D$ is contained in some fibers. Apply exercise 5.)
